



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>C12N 5/00, C07K 17/08, 17/10, 17/14, 17/12, C12Q 1/00 // C07K 17/06, (C12Q 1/00, C12R 1:91)</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 96/27657</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 12 September 1996 (12.09.96)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US96/02851 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 29 February 1996 (29.02.96)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 398,555                      3 March 1995 (03.03.95)                      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY [US/US]; 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US).  <b>(72) Inventors:</b> CIMA, Linda, G.; 2101 Massachusetts Avenue, Lexington, MA 02173 (US). MERRILL, Edward, W.; 90 Somerset Street, Belmont, MA 02178 (US). KUHL, Philip, R.; 2 Smith Street, Arlington, MA 02174 (US).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> PABST, Patrea, L.; Arnall Golden & Gregory, 2800 One Atlantic Center, 1201 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, GA 30309-3450 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

**(54) Title:** CELL GROWTH SUBSTRATES WITH TETHERED CELL GROWTH EFFECTOR MOLECULES

**(57) Abstract**

Disclosed are compositions with tethered growth effector molecules, and methods of using these compositions for growing cells and tissues. Growth effector molecules, including growth factors and extracellular matrix molecules, are flexibly tethered to a solid substrate. The compositions can be used either *in vitro* or *in vivo* to grow cells and tissues. By tethering the growth factors, they will not diffuse away from the desired location. By making the attachment flexible, the growth effector molecules can more naturally bind to cell surface receptors. A significant feature of these compositions and methods is that they enhance the biological response to the growth factors. The new method also offers other advantages over the traditional methods, in which growth factors are delivered in soluble form: (1) the growth factor is localized to a desired target cell population; (2) significantly less growth factor is needed to exert a biologic response. This method can be used as a means of enhancing the therapeutic use of growth factors *in vivo* and of creating surfaces which will enhance *in vitro* growth of difficult-to-grow cells such as liver cells.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

## CELL GROWTH SUBSTRATES WITH TETHERED CELL GROWTH EFFECTOR MOLECULES

### Background Of The Invention

5        This invention concerns cell and tissue growth substrates, growth stimulation compositions, and methods for delivering growth factors to cells and tissues.

Long-term mammalian cell culture has been difficult to achieve. Many types of specialized cells plated on standard tissue culture plastic dishes  
10        dedifferentiate, lose function, and fail to proliferate. There are many applications of mammalian cell culture that could benefit from methods or materials which enhance the long term stability of differentiated mammalian cells in culture. These cells are currently used as sources of natural and engineered proteins and glycoproteins, in screens for the effects of  
15        compounds on cell proliferation and function, and for implantation to supplement or replace cell function. Certain cells are particularly difficult to maintain in long term culture, such as hepatocytes.

It would be especially useful if hepatocytes could be maintained in long term culture. For example, *in vitro* toxicity testing of ingestible or orally  
20        administered compounds has been hampered by the fact that the liver converts many compounds into other chemical forms. These other forms may be toxic or have other effects. Thus, complete testing of materials in cell culture must include the effects of biotransformations carried out by the liver. Using current methodology, it is difficult to grow normal liver cells *in*  
25        *vitro* beyond two to three cell divisions. The result is that *in vitro* testing does not reduce the number of animals needed because essentially all of the cells to be used *in vitro* must come from direct isolation. A method of expanding liver cells *in vitro* would make it feasible to use *in vitro* liver cell cultures to carry out biotransformations by applying the compound of interest  
30        directly to liver cells in culture. The supernate from the liver cell cultures

could then be applied to other types of cells, such as skin, lung, nerve, and bladder, to assess the effect of the metabolized compound of interest.

Studies have been conducted for a number of years to improve the viability, proliferation and differentiated function of eukaryotic cells cultured *in vitro*. One discovery has been the importance of extracellular matrix and extracellular matrix molecules in maintaining cell function and allowing cell growth. These effects, and methods of using matrix components for cell growth, have been described by, for example, Jauregui *et al.*, *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology* **22**: 13-22 (1986), Kleinman *et al.*, *Analytical Biochemistry* **166**: 1-13 (1987), and Mooney *et al.*, *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **151**: 497-505 (1992).

Growth factors, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and transforming growth factors (TGF $\alpha$ , TGF $\beta$ ), exert a broad mitogenic response. Growth factors and their effects have been described in "Peptide Growth Factors and Their Receptors I" M.B. Sporn and A.B. Roberts, eds. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990). In recognition of their importance, most cell and tissue growth compositions include growth factors, either as an additive or as a component of complex growth media. The use of growth factors in this manner has certain drawbacks. For example, cells have a complex, nonlinear response to the concentration of growth factor in their environment. Extended exposure to high growth factor concentrations may cause cells to lose responsiveness to the factor. For example, EGF, a potent mitogen for a wide variety of cell types and arguably the best-characterized of the growth factors, when delivered in soluble form, is typically internalized by the cell, and the cell often responds by down-regulating the number of EGF receptors. This down-regulation causes cells to lose responsiveness to EGF.

Growth factors have also been used in disappointingly few clinical products, considering the range of effects they produce *in vitro*. Translation

of the mitogenic effects observed for the target cell *in vitro* to tissue growth *in vivo* is hampered by several issues. For example, the growth factors, when placed in a complex cellular environment, often end up stimulating the growth of competing cells which then overgrow the target cells. Researchers  
5 have attempted to solve this problem by targeting delivery of factors at a specific site, but this approach is not always successful because soluble growth factors can readily diffuse into the blood stream and away from the target site, exerting their effects elsewhere. This diffusion of growth factors is also a problem because it increases the amount of growth factor that must  
10 be used in order to have the desired local effect. Internalization of growth factors and loss of responsiveness to growth factors is a particular problem for *in vivo* applications considering the amount of time cell growth must be stimulated to allow wound healing.

Another attempt to improve the longevity of growth factor effects *in vivo* has been to incorporate growth factors in a slow release material. Such  
15 a scheme still requires large amounts of growth factor and does not address the problem of competing cell growth due to diffusion of the growth factors. The large amount of growth factors needed for these cell and tissue growth methods is a particular problem because growth factors are difficult and  
20 expensive to prepare.

It is therefore an object of the invention to provide a cell and tissue growth substrate that stimulates long-term target cell growth.

It is another object of the invention to provide a tissue growth scaffold for growth of a target tissue *in vivo*.

25 It is a further object of the invention to provide a method of long-term cell and tissue growth *in vitro*, and to provide a method of growing target tissue *in vivo*.

It is another object of the invention to provide an *in vitro* tissue analog for drug and toxicity testing, and a method of drug and toxicity testing using the tissue analog.

5

### Summary Of The Invention

The methods and compositions described herein concern new cell and tissue growth substrates. Growth effector molecules, including growth factors and extracellular matrix molecules, are flexibly tethered to a support medium and the combination is used to stimulate and support cell and tissue growth. The most significant feature of these compositions is that they enhance the biological response to the growth factor. The new compositions also offers other advantages over the traditional growth methods, in which growth factors are delivered in soluble form: (1) the growth factor is localized to a desired target cell population, and (2) significantly less growth factor is needed to exert a biologic response. In a preferred embodiment, multiple growth factors and/or matrix materials are attached to a single core molecule, such as a star polymer. These compositions can be used as a means of enhancing the therapeutic use of growth factors *in vivo* and of creating surfaces which will enhance *in vitro* growth of difficult-to-grow cells such as liver cells.

### Brief Description Of The Drawings

25 Figure 1 is a graph of DNA synthesis in cells grown on a non-tethered substrate, with EGF present or absent from the growth medium, plotting labeling index versus the presence or absence of EGF. The labeling index is the percentage of cells in a field that have stained nuclei.

Figure 2 is a graph of DNA synthesis in cells grown with tethered (coupled) or adsorbed EGF, plotting labeling index versus tethered or

30

adsorbed EGF. The labeling index is the percentage of cells in a field that have stained nuclei.

### Detailed Description Of The Invention

5

Many problems with effective utilization of growth factors may be overcome if, instead of being delivered in soluble form, the growth factors are immobilized on a solid substrate. This approach is attractive because some forms of insoluble matrix, such as crosslinked collagen sponges and  
10 bioresorbable polyester fabric, are used for many types of tissue regeneration to provide a template for tissue growth. The solid support need not be permanent, and thus the approach may be used for almost any tissue. Immobilization prevents the factor from diffusing away from the site and consequently allows a much more highly targeted form of delivery than other  
15 methods. Besides this concentration effect, tethering has other powerful advantages, stemming from the way growth factors work. For example, when delivered in soluble form, EGF is typically internalized by the cell, and the cell often responds by down-regulating the number of EGF receptors. However, evidence now shows that the growth factor does not have to be  
20 internalized in order to stimulate cell growth. For example, Reddy *et al.*, *Biotechnology Progress* 10: 377-384 (1994), describes fibroblasts that remain responsive to EGF despite their expression of internalization-deficient EGF receptors. As demonstrated by the following example, by allowing the target cell to bind EGF, but preventing the cell from internalizing the bound EGF,  
25 it is possible to circumvent the normal down-regulation of receptors that occurs in the presence of high concentrations of EGF. This offers two advantages: (1) it is possible to speed the rate of target cell growth *in vivo*, because cells in contact with the surface bearing the growth factor do not lose their responsiveness to EGF, and (2) considerably less growth factor is  
30 required, because cells do not internalize and degrade the growth factor. The

method of attachment of the growth factor to the substrate is critical because the receptor must have access to the factor. Furthermore, for some growth factors, dimerization or aggregation in the membrane is believed to be critical, as described in "Peptide Growth Factors and Their Receptors I" M.B. Sporn and A.B. Roberts, eds. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990). Thus, the growth factor will either have to be immobilized in extremely high concentration or immobilized on flexible tethers which will allow the ligand-receptor complex to aggregate in the cell membrane. Direct immobilization of even high concentrations of growth factor may be ineffective if the receptors bind randomly.

### **Tethers**

#### Requirements

As used herein, a tether is a flexible link between an attachment substrate and a growth effector molecule. Flexible tethers for attaching growth effector molecules to a substrate must satisfy two important requirements: (1) the need for mobility of the ligand-receptor complex within the cell membrane in order for the effector molecule to exert an effect, and (2) biocompatibility of materials used for immobilization. Substantial mobility of a tethered growth factor is critical because even though the cell does not need to internalize the complex formed between the receptor and the growth factor, it is believed that several complexes must cluster together on the surface of the cell in order for the growth factor to stimulate cell growth. In order to allow this clustering to occur, the growth factors are attached to the solid surface, for example, via long water-soluble polymer chains, which are referred to as tethers, allowing movement of the receptor-ligand complex in the cell membrane.

Examples of water-soluble, biocompatible polymers which can serve as tethers include polymers such as synthetic polymers like polyethylene oxide (PEO), polyvinyl alcohol, polyhydroxyethyl methacrylate, polyacrylamide,



and natural polymers such as hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulfate, carboxymethylcellulose, and starch.

Tethers can also be branched to allow attachment of multiple growth effector molecules in close proximity. Branched tethers can be used, for example, to increase the density of growth effector molecule on the substrate. Such tethers are also useful in bringing multiple or different growth effector molecules into close proximity on the cell surface. This is useful when using a combination of different growth effector molecules. Preferred forms of branched tethers are star PEO and comb PEO.

Star PEO is formed of many PEO "arms" emanating from a common core. Star PEO has been synthesized, for example, by living anionic polymerization using divinylbenzene (DVB) cores, as described by Gnanou *et al.*, *Makromol. Chemie* 189: 2885-2892 (1988), and Merrill, *J. Biomater. Sci. Polymer Edn* 5: 1-11 (1993). The resulting molecules have 10 to 200 arms, each with a molecular weight of 3,000 to 12,000. These molecules are about 97% PEO and 3% DVB by weight. Other core materials and methods may be used to synthesize star PEO. Comb PEO is formed of many PEO chains attached to and extending from the backbone of another polymer, such as polyvinyl alcohol. Star and comb polymers have the useful feature of grouping together many chains of PEO in close proximity to each other.

#### Length

The length of a tether is limited only by the mechanical strength of the tether used and the desired stability of a tethered growth factor. It is expected that stronger tethers can be made longer than weaker tethers, for example. It is also desirable for tether length and strength to be matched to give a desired half life to the tether, prior to breakage, and thereby adjust the half life of growth factor action. The minimum tether length also depends on the nature of the tether. A more flexible tether will function well even if the tether length is relatively short, while a stiffer tether may need to be longer to allow effective contact between a cell and the growth effector molecules.

The backbone length of a tether refers to the number of atoms in a continuous covalent chain from the attachment point on the substrate to the attachment point of the growth effector molecule. All of the tethers attached to a given substrate need not have the same backbone length. In fact, using  
5 tethers with different backbone lengths on the same substrate can make the resulting composition more effective and more versatile. In the case of branched tethers, there can be multiple backbone lengths depending on where and how many growth effector molecules are attached. Preferably, tethers can have any backbone length between 5 and 50,000 atoms. Within this  
10 preferred range, it is contemplated that backbone length ranges with different lower limits, such as 10, 15, 25, 30, 50, and 100, will have useful characteristics.

Such tethers are not intended to be limited by the manner in which the substrate-tether-growth effector molecule composition is assembled. For  
15 example, if linker molecules are attached to the substrate and the growth effector molecule, and then the linkers are joined to form the tethered composition, the entire length of the joined linkers is considered the tether. As another example, the attachment substrate may, by its nature, have on its surface protruding molecular chains. If a linker molecule is attached to the  
20 substrate via such protruding chains, then the chain and linker together are considered to be a tether.

Biocompatible polymers and spacer molecules are well known in the art and most are expected to be suitable for forming tethers. The only important characteristics are biocompatibility and flexibility. That is, the tether should  
25 not be made of a substance that is cytotoxic or, in the case of *in vivo* uses, which causes significant allergic or other physiological reaction when implanted. The tether should also allow the growth factor a sufficient range of motion to effectively bind to a cell surface receptor.

The biodegradability of a tether, the tether-substrate link, or the tether-  
30 growth factor link can be used to regulate the length of time a growth factor

stimulates growth. For example, if a given tether degrades during cell growth at a consistent rate, then a limit can be placed on how long the growth factors binds to and stimulates cell growth. Once untethered, a growth factor can be internalized by the cell or can diffuse away from the target cells. Such planned degradation is especially useful in the context of implanted compositions, used to stimulate tissue replacement, by limiting the amount of tissue growth.

#### Attachment Substrates

There are two basic types of substrates onto which growth effector molecules can be tethered. One class includes biocompatible materials which are not biodegradable, such as polystyrenes, polyethylene vinyl acetates, polypropylenes, polymethacrylates, polyacrylates, polyethylenes, polyethylene oxides, glass, polysilicates, polycarbonates, polytetrafluoroethylene, fluorocarbons, nylon, silicon rubber, and stainless steel alloys. The other class of materials includes biocompatible, biodegradable materials such as polyanhydrides, polyglycolic acid, polyhydroxy acids such as polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid, and polylactic acid-glycolic acid copolymers, polyorthoesters, polyhydroxybutyrate, polyphosphazenes, polypropylfumerate, and biodegradable polyurethanes, proteins such as collagen and polyamino acids, and polysaccharides such as glycosaminoglycans, alginate, and carageenan, bone powder or hydroxyapatite, and combinations thereof. These biodegradable polymers are preferred for *in vivo* tissue growth scaffolds. Other degradable polymers are described by Engleberg and Kohn, *Biomaterials* 12: 292-304 (1991).

Attachment substrates can have any useful form including bottles, dishes, fibers, woven fibers, shaped polymers, particles and microparticles. For *in vitro* cell growth, the growth effector molecule can be tethered to standard tissue culture polystyrene petri dishes. Woven fibers are useful for stimulating growth of tissue in the form of a sheet, sponge or membrane.

The biodegradability of a substrate can be used to regulate the length of time the growth factor stimulates growth and to allow replacement of implanted substrate with new tissue. For this purpose the substrate with tethered growth effector molecules can be considered a scaffold upon which new tissue can form. As such, a degradable scaffold is broken down as tissue replacement proceeds. Once released from the substrate, a growth factor can be internalized or can diffuse away from the target cells. Such planned degradation is especially useful in the context of implanted compositions, used to stimulate tissue replacement, by limiting the amount of tissue growth and eliminating the need to remove the tissue scaffold. For implantation in the body, preferred degradation times are typically less than one year, more typically in the range of weeks to months.

In some embodiments, attachment of the cells to the substrate is enhanced by coating the substrate with compounds such as extracellular membrane components, basement membrane components, agar, agarose, gelatin, gum arabic, collagen types I, II, III, IV, and V, fibronectin, laminin, glycosaminoglycans, mixtures thereof, and other materials known to those skilled in the art of cell culture.

#### **Growth Effector Molecules**

Growth effector molecules, as used herein, refer to molecules that bind to cell surface receptors and regulate the growth, replication or differentiation of target cells or tissue. Preferred growth effector molecules are growth factors and extracellular matrix molecules. Examples of growth factors include epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factors ( $TGF\alpha$ ,  $TGF\beta$ ), hepatocyte growth factor, heparin binding factor, insulin-like growth factor I or II, fibroblast growth factor, erythropoietin, nerve growth factor, bone morphogenic proteins, muscle morphogenic proteins, and other factors known to those of skill in the art. Additional growth factors are described in "Peptide Growth

Factors and Their Receptors I" M.B. Sporn and A.B. Roberts, eds.  
(Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990), for example.

Growth factors can be isolated from tissue using methods know to those  
of skill in the art. For example, growth factors can be isolated from tissue,  
5 produced by recombinant means in bacteria, yeast or mammalian cells. For  
example, EGF can be isolated from the submaxillary glands of mice and  
Genentech produces TGF- $\beta$  recombinantly. Many growth factors are also  
available commercially from vendors, such as Sigma Chemical Co. of St.  
Louis, MO, Collaborative Research, Genzyme, Boehringer, R&D Systems,  
10 and GIBCO, in both natural and recombinant forms.

Examples of extracellular matrix molecules include fibronectin, laminin,  
collagens, and proteoglycans. Other extracellular matrix molecules are  
described in Kleinman *et al.* (1987) or are known to those skilled in the art.  
Other growth effector molecules useful for tethering include cytokines, such  
15 as the interleukins and GM-colony stimulating factor, and hormones, such as  
insulin. These are also described in the literature and are commercially  
available.

The specific function or effect of a growth effector molecule does not  
limit its usefulness in the disclosed compositions and methods. This is  
20 because tethering of a growth effector molecule is used to prevent loss of  
effect caused by diffusion away from a target cell and/or internalization of a  
growth factor.

Only those growth effector molecules that can exert an effect while  
tethered are useful in the disclosed compositions. Such an effect, however,  
25 need not be the same effect or require the same concentration as the  
untethered growth effector molecule. So long as a growth effector molecule  
can exert any desired growth effect on a cell while tethered it is considered  
to be useful for tethering. These useful effects can be determined by  
tethering a selected growth effector molecule and observing the effect on cell  
30 growth using growth assays, such as those described in the examples below.

### Attachment Methods

Standard immobilization chemistries, which are well known in the art, can be used to covalently link the tethers to the growth effector molecule and the substrate. Tethering growth effector molecules can be accomplished by attachment, for example, to aminated surfaces, carboxylated surfaces or hydroxylated surfaces using standard immobilization chemistries. Examples of attachment agents are cyanogen bromide, succinimide, aldehydes, tosyl chloride, avidin-biotin, photocrosslinkable agents, epoxides and maleimides. A preferred attachment agent is glutaraldehyde. These and other attachment agents, as well as methods for their use in attachment, are described in "Protein immobilization: fundamentals and applications" Richard F. Taylor, ed. (M. Dekker, New York, 1991). Growth effector molecules can be tethered to a substrate by chemically cross-linking a tether molecule to reactive side groups present within the substrate and to a free amino group on the growth effector molecule. For example, synthetic EGF may be chemically cross-linked to a substrate that contains free amino or carboxyl groups using glutaraldehyde or carbodiimides as cross-linker agents. In this method, aqueous solutions containing free tethers molecules are incubated with the substrate in the presence of glutaraldehyde or carbodiimide. For crosslinking with glutaraldehyde the reactants can be incubated with 2% glutaraldehyde by volume in a buffered solution such as 0.1 M sodium cacodylate at pH 7.4. Other standard immobilization chemistries are known by those of skill in the art and can be used to join substrates, tethers, and growth effector molecules.

For the disclosed cell growth compositions, growth effector molecules may be tethered either alone or in combinations. For example, both insulin and EGF may be tethered to the same substrate. The growth effector molecules may be combined in any desired proportions. The relative amounts of different growth effector molecules can be controlled, for example, by first separately linking the growth effector molecules to tethers,

then mixing the "loaded" tethers in the desired proportions and attaching them to the substrate. The proportion of each growth effector molecule tethered to the substrate should match the proportion of loaded tethers in the attachment reaction.

5           **Tethering to Aminated Surfaces.**

Cell culture surfaces bearing primary amines can be prepared, for example, by amino-siloxane treatment of glass using reagents which can be commercially purchased and applied to standard laboratory glassware or by plasma discharge treatment of polymers in an ammonia environment.

- 10    Collagen matrices for tissue regeneration have primary amines present in lysine side chains and the terminal amines of each molecule. Two approaches are possible. Polymers such as PEO tethers can be activated on both ends with a leaving group such as tresyl chloride which reacts with primary amines. No blocking is necessary because only the terminal
- 15    hydroxyl residues of tether are reactive. This type of reaction can be carried out using standard glassware in a chemical fume hood. Vacuum drying of the product is required as an intermediate step. A substantial excess of the activated tether over the number of available amines, dissolved in a saline buffer, is added to the surface to be modified and the coupling reaction is
- 20    allowed to proceed. Use of an excess of activated PEO in this step minimizes the reaction of both ends of PEO with available amines and ensure a substantial fraction of unreacted activated chain ends are left for reaction with the growth factor. Unreacted PEO is washed away, and the EGF is then added in saline solution to react with the remaining activated chain ends.
- 25    If mouse EGF is used, only the terminal amino acid is reactive because it contains no other primary amines. Human EGF contains three possible immobilization sites. After the reaction is completed, excess unreacted growth factor is removed. This first approach is preferred for attaching EGF to a matrix such as crosslinked collagen, which contains a large number of

free hydroxyls and which does not allow significant non-specific adsorption of EGF.

A second approach is to activate the tethers on only one end initially by using a substoichiometric amount of activating agent. This will yield a distribution of species which include completely unactivated tether as well as tether activated at both ends. Unactivated tether can easily be washed away after the attachment step. The tether is then coupled to the support as described above, and the free tether ends are then activated to allow attachment of EGF. This second approach is preferred for derivatization of cell culture surfaces, which might allow substantial non-specific adsorption of growth factor, because an intermediate step in which unreacted amines are blocked with short-chain monomethoxy PEO can be added before EGF attachment in order to minimize non-specific adsorption of the factor.

### Cells

Cells to be cultured using the disclosed compositions can be any cells that respond to growth factors or that need growth effector molecule for growth. For example, cells can be obtained from established cell lines or separated from isolated tissue. Cells types that can be used with the tethered growth effector molecule compositions include most epithelial and endothelial cell types, for example, parenchymal cells such as hepatocytes, pancreatic islet cells, fibroblasts, chondrocytes, osteoblasts, exocrine cells, cells of intestinal origin, bile duct cells, parathyroid cells, thyroid cells, cells of the adrenal-hypothalamic-pituitary axis, heart muscle cells, kidney epithelial cells, kidney tubular cells, kidney basement membrane cells, nerve cells, blood vessel cells, cells forming bone and cartilage, and smooth and skeletal muscle. The cells used can also be recombinant. Methods for gene transfer are well known to those skilled in the art.



### ***In Vitro* Cell And Tissue Growth Using Substrates With Tethered Growth Effector Molecules**

Substrates with tethered growth effector molecules can be used to improve *in vitro* culture of hard-to-grow cells such as liver cells. Liver cell  
5 cultures would be useful for toxicology testing to replace certain aspects of animal testing of drugs. Liver cells grow very poorly *in vitro* using prior art methods, typically undergoing only one or two rounds of DNA synthesis after they are placed in culture. Since a tethered growth factor cannot be internalized, tethering will change the way the cells respond to the factor,  
10 constantly stimulating them to grow.

Cells can be cultured with tethered growth effector molecule compositions using any of the numerous well known cell culture techniques. Standard cell culture techniques are described in Freshney, "Cell Culture, a manual of basic technique" Third Edition (Wiley-Liss, New York, 1994).  
15 Other cell culture media and techniques well known to those skilled in the art can be used with the disclosed compositions. The disclosed compositions are adaptable to known cell culture vessels. For example, growth effector molecules can be immobilized on standard tissue culture polystyrene and glass petri dishes, T-flasks, roller bottles, stackable chambers, and filter  
20 systems such as the Millipore MILLICELL™ inserts, hollow fiber reactors and microcarriers. Cells can also be cultured in suspension using the disclosed compositions by tethering growth effector molecules to tiny beads or fibers, on the order of 10 microns in diameter of length. Such tiny particles, when added to culture medium, would attach to cells thereby stimulating  
25 their growth and providing attachment signals. The only critical difference in culturing technique is the elimination of growth factor from the cell culture medium when using tethered growth factor compositions. As described in the examples below, using soluble versus tethered EGF in primary hepatocyte cultures show an enhanced DNA synthesis rate of the tethered growth factor

in comparison to the soluble growth factor. This effect is dependent on the amount of the immobilized factor.

### ***In Vivo* Tissue Growth Using Tissue Growth Scaffolds With Tethered Growth Effector Molecules**

5           In yet another embodiment of the present invention, erodible and non-erodible artificial matrices with tethered growth effector molecules may be used either alone or in combination with attached cells to remodel tissue architecture or to repair tissue defects and wounds.

          Known methods and compositions for culturing cells and implanting  
10       them into the body can be adapted to use tethered growth effector molecules. For example, U.S. Patent No. 4,352,883 to Lim, uses cells that are encapsulated within alginate microspheres, then implanted. Such microspheres can be modified with tethered growth effector molecules to improve their usefulness. Culturing cells on a matrix for use as artificial  
15       skin, as described by Yannas and Bell in a series of publications, can also be modified by tethering growth effector molecules to the matrix. U.S. Patent No. 4,485,097 to Bell, U.S. Patent No. 4,060,081 to Yannas *et al.*, and U.S. Patent No. 4,458,678 to Yannas *et al.* describe substrates for use as artificial skin. U.S. Patent No. 4,520,821 to Schmidt describes a similar  
20       approach that was used to make linings to repair defects in the urinary tract.

          Vacanti *et al.*, *Arch. Surg.* **123**: 545-549 (1988), describes a method of culturing dissociated cells on biocompatible, biodegradable matrices for subsequent implantation into the body. Cima and Langer, "Tissue Engineering" *Chem. Eng. Prog.* **89**: 46-54 (1993), describe important  
25       considerations for the nature and form of implanted matrices useful for inducing tissue replacement. U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/200,636 entitled "Tissue Regeneration Matrices by Solid Free Force Fabrication" filed February 23, 1994 by Cima and Cima, which is hereby incorporated by reference, describes tissue regeneration matrices, fabrication techniques, and

methods of regenerating tissue. In general, tissue regeneration devices can be constructed from polymers, ceramics, or from composites of ceramics and polymers. Common materials useful for constructing tissue regeneration devices are, for example, extracellular matrix proteins, especially collagens; 5 degradable polyesters, such as polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid, co-polymers of polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid, and polycaprolactone; polyhydroxybutyrate; polyanhydrides; polyphosphazenes; bone powder; natural polysaccharides, such as hyaluronic acid, starch, and alginate; hydroxyapatite; polyurethanes; and other degradable polymers described by 10 Engleberg and Kohn, *Biomaterials* 12: 292-304 (1991). All of these known compositions can be modified by tethering growth effector molecules to the substrate.

Growth effector molecule tethered compositions for *in vivo* use can be in the form of polymeric, attachment molecule-coated sutures, pins, wound 15 dressings, fabric, and space-filling materials. Attachment substrates that promote ingrowth of dermal fibroblasts and capillaries could also be used for dermatological applications and cosmetic surgery, such as repair of wrinkles and aging skin, burn therapy, or skin reconstruction following disfiguring surgery. Substrates with tethered growth effector molecules that promote 20 osteoblast migration could be used to fill bone defects following tumor surgery or for non-healing fractures. Substrates with tethered growth effector molecules that promote muscle cell growth and migration could be used for replacement of muscle mass, including cardiac muscle and smooth muscle, following disfiguring surgery and for patients with muscle 25 degeneration or dysfunction. Tubular substrates with tethered growth effector molecules that promote growth, migration, and function of epithelial, endothelial and mesenchymal cells can be used for construction of artificial ducts for carrying bile, urine, gases, food, semen, cerebrospinal fluid, lymph, or blood. Sheaths formed of substrates that promote growth of 30 fibroblasts from perichondrium, periosteum, dura mater, and nerve sheaths

may be used to recreate these structures when they are injured or lost due to surgery or cancer. In all of these embodiments, either the substrate with tethered growth effector molecules or substrate plus attached cells may be used for reconstruction *in vivo*.

5           Substrates for promoting tissue generation can be formed to have a desired tissue shape. As used herein, a desired tissue shape is the shape that the newly generated tissue is desired to have. For example, certain tissues may need to be sheet-like, tubular, or formed as a lobe.

          Deactivation of the growth factor once appropriate tissue regeneration  
10       has occurred can be accomplished by tethering the growth factor to a support which slowly degrades. Examples of such support materials are polylactide-co-glycolide and crosslinked hyaluronic acid or collagen. Properly shaped substrate with tethered growth effector molecules can be applied in clinical problems such as healing of skin or periodontal ligament by encouraging  
15       continued tissue growth for the life of a shaped, degradable implant.

          The disclosed compositions can be administered to animals in various modes, including implantation, injection, and infusion. Known implantation techniques can be used for delivery of many different cell types to achieve different tissue structures. The tethered growth effector molecule  
20       compositions may be implanted in many different areas of the body to suit a particular application.

#### **Drug And Toxicity Testing Using Tissue Grown *In Vitro* On Tethered Substrates**

          In another embodiment, cells are cultured on substrates with tethered  
25       growth effector molecules and the resulting cell cultures are used to screen compounds for effects on cell growth, cell proliferation, cell metabolism, and DNA. For example, the cultured cells can be used to screen for compounds that alter hepatocyte enzyme systems. The cultured cells can also be used to study metabolism of various compounds and the carcinogenicity or  
30       mutagenicity of compounds both before and after metabolism by the cells.

Classically, compounds have been assayed for mutagenic activity using short term tests (STT) employing bacterial cell systems or animal studies. Most animal studies are conducted using the protocol for rodents developed by the National Cancer Institute in the early 1970s and reported by Sontag *et al.*, in *U.S. Dep. Health Educ. Welfare Publ. (NIH) Carcinog. Tech. Rep. Serv. 1: 76* (1976). Four STTs that are routinely used are the *Salmonella* mutagenesis, SAL, described by Haworth *et al.*, *Environ. Mutagen. 5* (suppl. 1): 3 (1983) and Mortelmans *et al. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 75: 137* (1984); chromosome aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells, ABS; sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells, SCE, both described by Galloway *et al. Environ. Mutagen. 7: 1* (1985); and mouse lymphoma cell, MOLY, assays, described by Myhr *et al.*, "Evaluation of Short-Term Tests for Carcinogens: Report of the International Programme on Chemical Safety's Collaborative Study on *in vitro* Assays" vol. 5 of Progress in Mutation Research Series, pages 55-568, Ashby *et al.*, Editors (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985). Unfortunately, the correlation between the rodent assays and the STTs is poor, and the available STTs do not provide a method for testing compounds for toxicity or mutagenicity of normal organ-specific cells, nor the effect of metabolism on the compounds by the organ-specific cells, such as hepatocytes.

When testing the effect of potential toxins, control assays using known toxins are used for comparison. Examples of known hepatotoxins, such as acetaminophen, carbon tetrachloride, alcohol, and cell-specific viruses such as hepatitis viruses, can be used to test the suitability of the model tissue. Standard cell number or cell lysis assays, such as Lactate dehydrogenase release, can be used to measure toxicity. Numerous other toxicity and mutagenesis assays are known in the art and can be practiced using cell cultures grown on the tethered growth effector molecule substrates described herein.

The disclosed compositions can be used to grow liver cells *in vitro* and make it feasible to use *in vitro* liver cell cultures to carry out biotransformations by applying the compound of interest directly to liver cells in culture. The supernate from the liver cell cultures can then be applied to  
5 other types of cells, such as skin, lung, nerve, and bladder, to assess any derived effect of the compound of interest. An automated system which pumps culture medium through a liver cell culture and then to cultures of these other cell types can be used.

The present invention is further understood by reference to the  
10 following non-limiting.

**Example 1: Enhancement of Cell Growth.**

**Cell Growth And Cell Growth Assessment Methods**

**A. *In Vitro* Hepatocyte Culture System.**

Rat hepatocytes were prepared according to Cima *et al.*, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 38: 145-158 (1991). Briefly, rat livers were perfused  
15 with calcium-free perfusion buffer followed by perfusion buffer with CaCl<sub>2</sub> and collagenase until the livers became soft. Cells were dispersed in William's Medium E supplemented with 10 ng/mL EGF (Collaborative Research), 20 mM pyruvate (Gibco), 5 nM dexamethasone (Sigma), 20  
20 mU/mL insulin (Gibco), 100 U/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were grown in culture generally as described by Cima *et al.* (1991). Briefly, cells were plated in culture medium at a concentration of  $3 \times 10^4$  viable cells per square centimeter of culture surface area. Following attachment, the medium was changed to remove unattached cells and then cells were  
25 maintained in medium with daily medium changes. The base culture medium for growth on tethered substrates and control substrates was William's Medium E supplemented with 0.55 g/L sodium pyruvate, 0.5 pM dexamethasone, 0.8 mg/mL insulin (bovine), 100 U/mL

Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. In some cases, the medium was supplemented with EGF.

#### **B. Quantitative Dot-Blot Assay.**

5        Secretion rates for the proteins albumin, transferrin, fibrinogen, and  
fibronectin from the hepatocyte cultures were measured with a quantitative  
dot-blot assay. Media samples from the cultures were serially diluted and  
loaded in duplicate onto nitrocellulose paper with 0.1 micron pore size using  
a 96 well minifold apparatus (Schleicher-Schuell). Protein standards were  
also loaded in duplicate at decreasing levels from 300 to 10 ng/dot. The blot  
10        was then exposed to an appropriate primary antibody for the protein being  
quantitated. Rabbit anti-rat albumin and anti-rat transferrin were available  
from Cappel. Rabbit anti-rat fibrinogen was available from Sigma. The  
non-bound primary antibody was washed away after one hour, and the blot  
was exposed to donkey anti-rabbit IgG labelled with  $^{125}\text{I}$  (Amersham) for an  
15        additional hour. The non-bound secondary antibody was washed away, and  
an autoradiograph of the blot was made. The dots were then punched out  
and bound  $^{125}\text{I}$  measured using a gamma counter to determine the total  
amount of bound antibody. A calibration curve was generated by relating  
known amounts of standard protein to total count per minute bound. The  
20        linear portion of the standard curve was then used to quantitate the amount of  
protein in the unknown media samples. Secretion rates were normalized for  
cell number before the modulating effects of different attachment molecule  
densities were compared.

#### **C. One Dimensional SDS-PAGE of Secreted Proteins.**

25        The pattern of protein secretion from cultured hepatocytes was  
determined by pulse labelling cultures from 46 to 48 hours post-attachment  
with  $^{35}\text{S}$  labelled methionine (ICN) in methionine free William's E media  
(Gibco), with or without EGF. The media was collected after the two hour  
labelling, and equal amounts of protein were analyzed by sodium dodecyl

sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Autoradiographs were prepared with XOMAT-XAR5 film.

#### **D. DNA Synthesis Measurement.**

DNA synthesis is used as a measure of potential for cellular proliferation. Hepatocytes were pulse labelled for 20 hours beginning at 48 hours post-cell attachment with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU), and subsequently fixed as outlined above. Cells were processed for immunocytochemistry using a BrdU kit from Amersham. Briefly, nuclei were permeabilized with DNase I during incubation with the primary antibody. Detection of the bound antibody was achieved using peroxidase conjugated antibody to mouse immunoglobulin, and polymerizing diaminodenzidine (DAB) in the presence of cobalt and nickel, giving black staining at sites of BrdU incorporation. Alternatively, hepatocytes are pulse labelled for 16 hours beginning at 48 hours post-cell attachment with  $^3\text{H}$ -thymidine, and subsequently fixed in 95% ethanol/5% acetic acid fixative for several hours. The dishes or slides were coated with Kodak NTB2 autoradiography emulsion, and allowed to expose for seven days. Autoradiographic grains were developed using Kodak D-19 developer. The percentage of cells actively synthesizing DNA was quantitated by choosing 8 random areas on each dish and counting those cells with labelled nuclei versus the total number of cells. A minimum of 35 cells was counted per dish.

#### **Synthesis of growth substrate using polyethylene oxide tether**

##### **A. Silylation Reaction.**

Glass microscope slides were cleaned by immersion in 1:1 methanol:HCl for at least 30 minutes. They were rinsed twice in water and immersed in 1:1 water:concentrated sulfuric acid for at least 30 minutes. After another rise in water, the slides were placed in boiling water for 15 to 30 minutes. In a glove box under a nitrogen atmosphere, the freshly cleaned slides were placed in a solution of freshly mixed acidic methanol (1.0 mM acetic acid in methanol), 5.0%  $\text{H}_2$ , and 1% ETDA (N-(2-aminoethyl)(3-



aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane) for 15 minutes, and then rinsed three times in methanol. Following the final rinse the slides were baked on a 120°C oven for 5 to 10 minutes. The slides were stored in a desiccator at room temperature while awaiting polymer grafting.

5           **B. Activation Of Polymer.**

Star polyethylene oxide was dissolved in methylene chloride (10 wt%) and dried over molecular sieve at 4°C. 110 microliters dry triethylamine and 75 microliters tresyl chloride were added to the dry polymer solution for every gram of polymer. After 90 minutes the solvent was evaporated under  
10 vacuum and the polymer was redissolved in acidified methanol (0.06 M HCl in methanol) and allowed to precipitate at -20°C. To remove unreacted tresyl chloride, the polymer was reprecipitated six times, after which the solvent was evaporated and the dried activated polymer stored under nitrogen.

**C. PEO Grafting And Re-activation.**

15 Slides were grafted with star polyethylene oxide by placing a droplet of 0.1 to 10 wt% tresyl chloride activated polymer in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) on each slide and allowing the reaction to proceed for 12 hours. The slides were rinsed in phosphate buffer and then in water. Slides were dried in graded ethanol solutions of, sequentially, 25%, 50%, 75%, and  
20 100% ethanol. Then the slides were rinsed in dry acetone and finally in dry methylene chloride before reactivation. To tresyl activate the grafted star PEO, slides were immersed for 1 hour in 0.06 M tresyl chloride, 0.07 M triethylamine in methylene chloride at room temperature under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. For mock activation controls, the tresyl chloride was omitted.

25           **D. EGF Coupling And Desorption.**

<sup>125</sup>I-EGF of murine origin was coupled to activated slides in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 12 hours at room temperature. The same procedure was followed for control slides. Adsorbed EGF was desorbed by successive washes in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) with 0.1 wt% bovine  
30 collagen. The amount of EGF associated with the slides was determined

using a gamma counter. The amount of EGF coupled to activated slides was determined by subtracting the amount adsorbed to the control slides from that associated with the activated slides.

**Growth of cells on tethered substrate *in vitro***

5           Freshly isolated rat hepatocytes were seeded on tethered EGF slides and control slides, prepared as described above. The seeded slides were incubated in William's Medium E supplemented with 0.55 g/L sodium pyruvate, 0.5 pM dexamethasone, 0.8 mg/mL insulin (bovine), 100 U/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cells were labelled with  
10       bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) as described above.

Cell seeding and DNA synthesis assays were performed on slides that had been activated with tresyl chloride and coupled with EGF, on mock activated control slides, both prepared as described above, and on control tissue-culture treated polystyrene dishes (Falcon) either with EGF added to  
15       the medium at 10 ng/mL or with EGF omitted.

The results of the DNA synthesis assay for the latter, non-tethered controls is shown in Figure 1. The presence of EGF in the medium clearly causes an increase in the number of cells synthesizing DNA. The results of the DNA synthesis assay for the tethered EGF surface and the mock activated  
20       control surface that had only adsorbed EGF is shown in Figure 2. The number of cells synthesizing DNA is clearly higher for the tethered EGF surface.

Modifications and variations of the compositions and methods of the present invention will be obvious to those skilled in the art from the  
25       foregoing detailed description. Such modifications and variations are intended to come within the scope of the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A composition for stimulating the growth of eukaryotic cells comprising  
a biocompatible substrate,  
biocompatible tethers, and  
growth effector molecules,  
wherein one end of each tether is covalently linked to the substrate and each growth effector molecule is covalently linked to a distal end of a tether.
2. The composition of claim 1 wherein the form of the biocompatible substrate is selected from the group consisting of netting, individual and woven fibers, sponge and shaped polymers.
3. The composition of claim 2 wherein the shape of the shaped polymer is selected from the group consisting of dishes, bottles, solid particles, hollow particles, and polymers shaped to match a desired tissue shape.
4. The composition of claim 1 wherein the biocompatible substrate is selected from the group consisting of glasses, metals and biocompatible polymers.
5. The composition of claim 4 wherein the polymer is selected from the group consisting synthetic polymers and natural polymers.
6. The composition of claim 5 wherein the polymer is selected from the group consisting of proteins, polysaccharides, extracellular matrix proteins; polyesters; polycaprolactone; polyhydroxybutyrate; polyanhydrides; polyphosphazenes; polyorthoesters, polyurethanes, and combinations thereof.
7. The composition of claim 1 wherein the tether is a water soluble, biocompatible polymer.
8. The composition of claim 7 wherein the tether is selected from the group consisting of polyethylene oxide, carboxymethylcellulose, and starch.
9. The composition of claim 1 wherein the growth effector molecules are selected from the group consisting of epidermal growth factor, platelet-

derived growth factor, transforming growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, heparin binding factor, insulin-like growth factor I or II, fibroblast growth factor, erythropoietin, nerve growth factor, bone morphogenic proteins, muscle morphogenic proteins extracellular matrix molecules, and combinations thereof.

10. The composition of claim 1 wherein the tether has a backbone length between 5 and 50,000 atoms.

11. The composition of claim 10 wherein the tether has a backbone length between 100 and 50,000 atoms.

12. The composition of claim 10 wherein the tether has a backbone length between 5 and 500 atoms.

13. A method for growing eukaryotic cells comprising  
bringing into contact the cells and a composition comprising  
a biocompatible substrate,  
biocompatible tethers, and  
growth effector molecules,

wherein one end of each tether is covalently linked to the  
substrate and each growth effector molecule is covalently linked to  
a distal end of a tether; and

maintaining the contacting cells and composition under conditions and  
for a time sufficient to cause the cells to grow.

14. The method of claim 13 wherein the step of bringing into contact  
comprises administering the composition to a patient in need of cell growth.

15. The method of claim 14 wherein the composition is administered  
by injection, infusion, or implantation.

16. The method of claim 15 wherein the composition is administered  
by implantation of the composition and wherein the substrate is shaped to  
match a desired tissue shape.

17. The method of claim 16 wherein the substrate is biodegradable.

18. The method of claim 13 wherein the form of the biocompatible substrate is selected from the group consisting of netting, individual and woven fibers, sponges and shaped polymers.

19. The method of claim 18 wherein the shape of the shaped polymer is selected from the group consisting of dishes, bottles, solid particles, hollow particles, and polymers shaped to match a desired tissue shape.

20. The method of claim 13 wherein the biocompatible substrate is selected from the group consisting of glasses and biocompatible polymers.

21. The method of claim 20 wherein the polymer is selected from the group consisting of synthetic polymers and natural polymers.

22. The method of claim 21 wherein the polymer is selected from the group consisting of polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid, polyanhydrides, polyorthoesters, collagen, glycosaminoglycans, polyamino acids, and combinations thereof.

23. The method of claim 13 wherein the tether is a water soluble, biocompatible polymer.

24. The method of claim 23 wherein the tether is selected from the group consisting of polyethylene oxide, carboxymethylcellulose, and starch.

25. The method of claim 13 wherein the growth effector molecules are selected from the group consisting of epidermal growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, transforming growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, heparin binding factor, insulin-like growth factor I or II, fibroblast growth factor, erythropoietin, nerve growth factor, bone morphogenic proteins, muscle morphogenic proteins extracellular matrix molecules, and combinations thereof.

26. The method of claim 13 wherein the tether has a backbone length between 5 and 50,000 atoms.

27. The method of claim 26 wherein the tether has a backbone length between 100 and 50,000 atoms.

28. The method of claim 13 wherein the tether has a backbone length between 5 and 500 atoms.

29. The method of claim 13 wherein the cells are selected from the group consisting of parenchymal cells and stem cells.

30. The method of claim 29 wherein the cells are hepatocytes.

31. A cell culture comprising  
a biocompatible substrate,  
biocompatible tethers,  
growth effector molecules, and  
growing cells,

wherein one end of each tether is covalently linked to the substrate and each growth effector molecule is covalently linked to a distal end of a tether, and wherein the growing cells are bound to the growth effector molecules.

32. A method of testing a compound for an effect on tissue comprising bringing into contact the compound to be tested and a composition comprising

a biocompatible substrate,  
biocompatible tethers,  
growth effector molecules, and  
growing cells,

wherein one end of each tether is covalently linked to the substrate and each growth effector molecule is covalently linked to a distal end of a tether, and wherein the growing cells are bound to the growth effector molecules;

incubating the compound and the composition under conditions promoting cell growth; and

observing the cells for any effect not observed in cells not brought into contact with the composition.

# DNA Synthesis - EGF in the Medium




Figure 1

# DNA Synthesis: Tethered EGF




Figure 2



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PC 1/US 96/02851

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 C12N5/00 C07K17/08 C07K17/10 C07K17/14 C07K17/12  
 C12Q1/00 //C07K17/06,(C12Q1/00,C12R1:91)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 C12N C07K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP,A,0 531 733 (SAKAI ENEX KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 17 March 1993 see page 2, line 53 - page 5, line 31 ---	1-32
X	WO,A,89 05616 (BIO METRIC SYSTEMS INC) 29 June 1989 see page 7, line 14 - page 17, line 2 ---	1-12
A	WO,A,91 01760 (US GOVERNMENT) 21 February 1991 ---	
A	WO,A,94 28937 (ENZON INC) 22 December 1994 ---	
A	EP,A,0 205 790 (MULLER LIERHEIM KG BIOLOG LAB) 30 December 1986 ---	
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 July 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

31.07.96

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Rempp, G

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 96/02851

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>           DATABASE WPI            Section Ch, Week 9221            Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB;            Class B04, AN 92-171644            XP002009370            &amp; JP,A,04 108 377 (UBE IND LTD) , 9 April            1992            see abstract            -----         </p>	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 96/02851

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  
Remark: Although claims 14 - 17 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**  
Information on patent family members

International Application No  
PCT/US 96/02851

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-0531733	17-03-93	JP-A- 5252941 CA-A,C 2075766	05-10-93 13-02-93
-----	-----	-----	-----
WO-A-8905616	29-06-89	AT-T- 137104 CA-A- 1335721 DE-D- 3855238 EP-A- 0407390 JP-T- 3503005 US-A- 5512329 US-A- 5002582 US-A- 5258041	15-05-96 30-05-95 30-05-96 16-01-91 11-07-91 30-04-96 26-03-91 02-11-93
-----	-----	-----	-----
WO-A-9101760	21-02-91	CA-A- 2022670	05-02-91
-----	-----	-----	-----
WO-A-9428937	22-12-94	AU-B- 7109494	03-01-95
-----	-----	-----	-----
EP-A-0205790	30-12-86	DE-A- 3521684 DE-A- 3530440 DE-A- 3683321 DE-A- 3687861 EP-A- 0205997 JP-A- 62051984 JP-A- 62049856 US-A- 4828563 US-A- 4789634	18-12-86 02-04-87 20-02-92 08-04-93 30-12-86 06-03-87 04-03-87 09-05-89 06-12-88
-----	-----	-----	-----